

On Gabrielov's rank Theorem

Guillaume Rond (joint work with André Belotto & Octave Curmi)

June 25th, 2025

Images of algebraic maps

- **Chevalley Theorem.** If $F : \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^p$ is a polynomial map, and $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is algebraic then $F(X)$ is *constructible*.

Images of algebraic maps

- ▶ **Chevalley Theorem.** If $F : \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^p$ is a polynomial map, and $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is algebraic then $F(X)$ is *constructible*.
- ▶ A constructible set is a finite union of sets of the following form:

$$\{x \in \mathbb{C}^p \mid P_1(x) = \cdots = P_\ell(x) = 0 \text{ and } Q(x) \neq 0\}.$$

Images of algebraic maps

- ▶ **Chevalley Theorem.** If $F : \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^p$ is a polynomial map, and $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is algebraic then $F(X)$ is *constructible*.

- ▶ A constructible set is a finite union of sets of the following form:

$$\{x \in \mathbb{C}^p \mid P_1(x) = \cdots = P_\ell(x) = 0 \text{ and } Q(x) \neq 0\}.$$

- ▶ In particular the dimension of $F(X)$ equals the dimension of its Zariski closure $\overline{F(X)}$:

$$\dim(\overline{F(X)}) = \dim(F(X)) \leq \dim(X).$$

What about the analytic case?

- ▶ Theorem (Remmert - 1957): If $\Phi : X \longrightarrow Y$ is a **complex** analytic map between complex analytic sets that is **proper**, then $\Phi(X)$ is complex analytic.

What about the analytic case?

- ▶ Theorem (Remmert - 1957): If $\Phi : X \longrightarrow Y$ is a **complex** analytic map between complex analytic sets that is **proper**, then $\Phi(X)$ is complex analytic.
- ▶ (Osgood - 1916) Let $\Phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ with $\Phi(u, v) = (u, uv, ue^v)$.

What about the analytic case?

- ▶ Theorem (Remmert - 1957): If $\Phi : X \longrightarrow Y$ is a **complex** analytic map between complex analytic sets that is **proper**, then $\Phi(X)$ is complex analytic.
- ▶ (Osgood - 1916) Let $\Phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ with $\Phi(u, v) = (u, uv, ue^v)$. Then the Zariski closure of $\Phi(\mathbb{C}^2)$ equals \mathbb{C}^3 .

What about the analytic case?

- ▶ Theorem (Remmert - 1957): If $\Phi : X \longrightarrow Y$ is a **complex** analytic map between complex analytic sets that is **proper**, then $\Phi(X)$ is complex analytic.
- ▶ (Osgood - 1916) Let $\Phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ with $\Phi(u, v) = (u, uv, ue^v)$. Then the Zariski closure of $\Phi(\mathbb{C}^2)$ equals \mathbb{C}^3 .

Therefore, $\Phi(\mathbb{C}^2)$ is not analytic.

Ranks of an analytic map

- ▶ When $\Phi : (\mathbb{C}^p, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ is analytic, we denote by $r(\Phi)$ the generic rank of the Jacobian matrix of Φ .

Ranks of an analytic map

- ▶ When $\Phi : (\mathbb{C}^p, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ is analytic, we denote by $r(\Phi)$ the generic rank of the Jacobian matrix of Φ .
- ▶ Then Φ induces $\Phi^* : \mathbb{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}\{u_1, \dots, u_p\}$.

Ranks of an analytic map

- ▶ When $\Phi : (\mathbb{C}^p, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ is analytic, we denote by $r(\Phi)$ the generic rank of the Jacobian matrix of Φ .
- ▶ Then Φ induces $\Phi^* : \mathbb{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}\{u_1, \dots, u_p\}$.

We define

$$r^A(\Phi) = \dim \left(\mathbb{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} / \text{Ker}(\Phi^*) \right).$$

Ranks of an analytic map

- ▶ When $\Phi : (\mathbb{C}^p, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ is analytic, we denote by $r(\Phi)$ the generic rank of the Jacobian matrix of Φ .
- ▶ Then Φ induces $\Phi^* : \mathbb{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}\{u_1, \dots, u_p\}$.

We define

$$r^{\mathcal{A}}(\Phi) = \dim \left(\mathbb{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} / \text{Ker}(\Phi^*) \right).$$

- ▶ We also have $\widehat{\Phi}^* : \mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[[u_1, \dots, u_p]]$.

$$r^{\mathcal{F}}(\Phi) = \dim \left(\mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] / \text{Ker}(\widehat{\Phi}^*) \right).$$

Ranks of an analytic map

- ▶ When $\Phi : (\mathbb{C}^p, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ is analytic, we denote by $r(\Phi)$ the generic rank of the Jacobian matrix of Φ .
- ▶ Then Φ induces $\Phi^* : \mathbb{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}\{u_1, \dots, u_p\}$.

We define

$$r^{\mathcal{A}}(\Phi) = \dim \left(\mathbb{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} / \text{Ker}(\Phi^*) \right).$$

- ▶ We also have $\widehat{\Phi}^* : \mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[[u_1, \dots, u_p]]$.

$$r^{\mathcal{F}}(\Phi) = \dim \left(\mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] / \text{Ker}(\widehat{\Phi}^*) \right).$$

We always have $\boxed{r(\Phi) \leq r^{\mathcal{F}}(\Phi) \leq r^{\mathcal{A}}(\Phi)}$

Analytic vs formal category: Gabrielov's Example (1973)

Answering a question of Grothendieck, Gabrielov provides an example of a morphism

$$\psi : \mathbb{C}\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}\{u, v\}$$

with

$$r(\psi) = 2 < r^{\mathcal{F}}(\psi) = 3 < r^{\mathcal{A}}(\psi) = 4$$

Gabrielov's rank Theorem (1975):

$$\mathbf{r}(\Phi) = \mathbf{r}^{\mathcal{F}}(\Phi) \implies \mathbf{r}^{\mathcal{F}}(\Phi) = \mathbf{r}^{\mathcal{A}}(\Phi)$$

Gabrielov's rank Theorem (1975):

$$\boxed{r(\Phi) = r^{\mathcal{F}}(\Phi) \implies r^{\mathcal{F}}(\Phi) = r^{\mathcal{A}}(\Phi)}$$

- **Question:** Can we extend this result to a more general (and algebraic) setting?

Weierstrass temperate families

We fix an uncountable algebraically closed field of characteristic zero \mathcal{K} .
For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{K}\{\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}\}$ is a \mathcal{K} -subalgebra of $\mathcal{K}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$.

Weierstrass temperate families

We fix an uncountable algebraically closed field of characteristic zero \mathcal{K} .
For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{K}\{\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}\}$ is a \mathcal{K} -subalgebra of $\mathcal{K}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$.

- (Weierstrass division) $\mathcal{K}\{\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}\}$ satisfies the Weierstrass division Theorem.

Weierstrass temperate families

We fix an uncountable algebraically closed field of characteristic zero \mathcal{K} .
For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{K}\{\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}\}$ is a \mathcal{K} -subalgebra of $\mathcal{K}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$.

- ▶ (Weierstrass division) $\mathcal{K}\{\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}\}$ satisfies the Weierstrass division Theorem.
- ▶ (Stability under blow-down) For $f \in \mathcal{K}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_1 x_n) \in \mathcal{K}\{\{x\}\} \implies f \in \mathcal{K}\{\{x\}\}.$$

Weierstrass temperate families

We fix an uncountable algebraically closed field of characteristic zero \mathcal{K} . For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{K}\{\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}\}$ is a \mathcal{K} -subalgebra of $\mathcal{K}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$.

- ▶ (Weierstrass division) $\mathcal{K}\{\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}\}$ satisfies the Weierstrass division Theorem.
- ▶ (Stability under blow-down) For $f \in \mathcal{K}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_1 x_n) \in \mathcal{K}\{\{x\}\} \implies f \in \mathcal{K}\{\{x\}\}.$$

- ▶ (Stability under hyperplane sections) For $f \in \mathcal{K}[[x]] \setminus \mathcal{K}\{\{x\}\}$, the set

$$\{\lambda \in \mathcal{K} \mid f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, \lambda x_1) \in \mathcal{K}\{\{x\}\}\}$$

is at most countable.

Weierstrass temperate families

We fix an uncountable algebraically closed field of characteristic zero \mathcal{K} . For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{K}\{\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}\}$ is a \mathcal{K} -subalgebra of $\mathcal{K}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$.

- ▶ (Weierstrass division) $\mathcal{K}\{\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}\}$ satisfies the Weierstrass division Theorem.
- ▶ (Stability under blow-down) For $f \in \mathcal{K}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_1 x_n) \in \mathcal{K}\{\{x\}\} \implies f \in \mathcal{K}\{\{x\}\}.$$

- ▶ (Stability under hyperplane sections) For $f \in \mathcal{K}[[x]] \setminus \mathcal{K}\{\{x\}\}$, the set

$$\{\lambda \in \mathcal{K} \mid f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, \lambda x_1) \in \mathcal{K}\{\{x\}\}\}$$

is at most countable.

- ▶ ("Temperateness"): Let $\gamma(t)$ be algebraic of degree d over $\mathcal{K}[t]$. Let $a_1(t, z), \dots, a_d(t, z) \in \mathcal{K}[t][[z]]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a_d(t, z) + a_{d-1}(t, z)\gamma(t) + \dots + a_1(t, z)\gamma(t)^{d-1} &\in \mathcal{K}\{\{t, z\}\} \\ \implies a_i(t, z) &\in \mathcal{K}\{\{t, z\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Rank Theorem (Belotto, Curmi, R., 2022):

Let

$$\varphi : \mathcal{K}\{\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}\} \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}\{\{u_1, \dots, u_p\}\}$$

be a morphism of temperate power series rings. Then

$$\mathbf{r}(\Phi) = \mathbf{r}^{\mathcal{F}}(\Phi) \implies \mathbf{r}^{\mathcal{F}}(\Phi) = \mathbf{r}^{\mathcal{T}}(\Phi).$$

Examples of Weierstrass temperate families:

- (i) The family of convergent power series $(\mathbb{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Examples of Weierstrass temperate families:

- (i) The family of convergent power series $(\mathbb{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.
- (ii) The family of convergent power series $(\mathbb{C}_p\{x_1, \dots, x_n\})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Examples of Weierstrass temperate families:

- (i) The family of convergent power series $(\mathbb{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.
- (ii) The family of convergent power series $(\mathbb{C}_p\{x_1, \dots, x_n\})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.
- (iii) The family of algebraic power series $(\mathcal{K}\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Examples of Weierstrass temperate families:

- (i) The family of convergent power series $(\mathbb{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.
- (ii) The family of convergent power series $(\mathbb{C}_p\{x_1, \dots, x_n\})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.
- (iii) The family of algebraic power series $(\mathcal{K}\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.
- (iv) (Eisenstein series) Let A be a UFD containing an uncountable characteristic zero field.

$\mathcal{K} =$ fraction field of A

Then

$$\mathcal{K}\{\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}\} := \bigcup_{f \in A \setminus \{0\}} A_f \llbracket x_1, \dots, x_n \rrbracket$$

defines a Weierstrass temperate family.

Examples of Weierstrass temperate families:

- (i) The family of convergent power series $(\mathbb{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.
- (ii) The family of convergent power series $(\mathbb{C}_p\{x_1, \dots, x_n\})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.
- (iii) The family of algebraic power series $(\mathcal{K}\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.
- (iv) (Eisenstein series) Let A be a UFD containing an uncountable characteristic zero field.

$$\mathcal{K} = \text{algebraic closure of } \text{Frac}(A)$$

Then

$$\mathcal{K}\{\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}\} := \bigcup_{f \in A \setminus \{0\}} \bigcup_{c \in \mathcal{K}} A_f[c][[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$$

defines a Weierstrass temperate family.

Strategy of proof (1)

We may reduce to the case $\psi : \mathcal{K}\{\{x_1, x_2, y\}\} \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}\{\{u, v\}\}$ is such that

$$\mathbf{r}(\psi) = \mathbf{r}^{\mathcal{F}}(\psi) = 2$$

Strategy of proof (1)

We may reduce to the case $\psi : \mathcal{K}\{\{x_1, x_2, y\}\} \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}\{\{u, v\}\}$ is such that

$$\mathbf{r}(\psi) = \mathbf{r}^{\mathcal{F}}(\psi) = 2$$

and $\text{Ker}(\widehat{\psi})$ is generated by one irreducible monic polynomial $P(x, y) \in \mathcal{K}[[x]][y]$.

Strategy of proof (1)

We may reduce to the case $\psi : \mathcal{K}\{\{x_1, x_2, y\}\} \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}\{\{u, v\}\}$ is such that

$$r(\psi) = r^{\mathcal{F}}(\psi) = 2$$

and $\text{Ker}(\widehat{\psi})$ is generated by one irreducible monic polynomial $P(x, y) \in \mathcal{K}[[x]][y]$.

Moreover we may assume that

$$\psi : (x_1, x_2, y) \longmapsto (u, uv, f(u, v))$$

for some $f(u, v) \in \mathcal{K}\{\{u, v\}\}$.

A bit of algebra: roots of polynomials with power series coefficients

We denote by $\mathbb{P}[[x]]$ the set of series of the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k(x)}{b(x)^{\alpha k + \beta}}$$

where the a_k and b are homogeneous polynomials, the total degree of $\frac{a_k(x)}{b(x)^{\alpha k + \beta}}$ is k , and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$.

A bit of algebra: roots of polynomials with power series coefficients

We denote by $\mathbb{P}[[x]]$ the set of series of the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k(x)}{b(x)^{\alpha k + \beta}}$$

where the a_k and b are homogeneous polynomials, the total degree of $\frac{a_k(x)}{b(x)^{\alpha k + \beta}}$ is k , and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$.

- **Theorem** (Tougeron 90): If $P(x, y) \in \mathcal{K}[[x]][y]$ is a monic polynomial in y , then its roots can be expressed as

$$A_0 + A_1\gamma + A_2\gamma^2 + \cdots + A_{d-1}\gamma^{d-1}$$

where the $A_i \in \mathbb{P}[[x]]$, and γ is a homogeneous function.

Roots of polynomials with **temperate** power series coefficients

We denote by $\mathbb{P}\{\{x\}\}$ the set of series of the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k(x)}{b(x)^{\alpha k + \beta}} \in \mathbb{P}\llbracket x \rrbracket$$

such that $\sum_k a_k(x) \in \mathcal{K}\{\{x\}\}$.

Roots of polynomials with **temperate** power series coefficients

We denote by $\mathbb{P}\{\{x\}\}$ the set of series of the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k(x)}{b(x)^{\alpha k + \beta}} \in \mathbb{P}\llbracket x \rrbracket$$

such that $\sum_k a_k(x) \in \mathcal{K}\{\{x\}\}$.

- **Theorem** (Tougeron 90, BCR): If $P(x, y) \in \mathcal{K}\{\{x\}\}[y]$ is a monic polynomial in y , then its roots can be expressed as

$$A_0 + A_1\gamma + A_2\gamma^2 + \cdots + A_{d-1}\gamma^{d-1}$$

where the $A_i \in \mathbb{P}\{\{x\}\}$, and γ is a homogeneous function.

Behaviour under blowups where $n = 2$

$$\text{Let } A = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k(x)}{b(x)^{\alpha k + \beta}} \in \mathbb{P}[[x]].$$

Behaviour under blowups where $n = 2$

Let $A = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k(x)}{b(x)^{\alpha k + \beta}} \in \mathbb{P}[[x]]$.

Let $\sigma : (N, E) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{K}^2, 0)$ be the blowup of the origin. And let $a \in E$ not on the strict transform of $\{b = 0\}$.

Behaviour under blowups where $n = 2$

Let $A = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k(x)}{b(x)^{\alpha k + \beta}} \in \mathbb{P}[[x]]$.

Let $\sigma : (N, E) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{K}^2, 0)$ be the blowup of the origin. And let $a \in E$ not on the strict transform of $\{b = 0\}$.

Up to some linear change of coordinates, we may assume that $\sigma_a^* : g(x_1, x_2) \longmapsto g(u, uv)$.

Behaviour under blowups where $n = 2$

Let $A = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k(x)}{b(x)^{\alpha k + \beta}} \in \mathbb{P}[[x]]$.

Let $\sigma : (N, E) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{K}^2, 0)$ be the blowup of the origin. And let $\mathfrak{a} \in E$ not on the strict transform of $\{b = 0\}$.

Up to some linear change of coordinates, we may assume that $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^* : g(x_1, x_2) \longmapsto g(u, uv)$.

- Then $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^*(A)$ is a power series.

Behaviour under blowups where $n = 2$

Let $A = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k(x)}{b(x)^{\alpha k + \beta}} \in \mathbb{P}[[x]]$.

Let $\sigma : (N, E) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{K}^2, 0)$ be the blowup of the origin. And let $\mathfrak{a} \in E$ not on the strict transform of $\{b = 0\}$.

Up to some linear change of coordinates, we may assume that $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^* : g(x_1, x_2) \longmapsto g(u, uv)$.

- ▶ Then $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^*(A)$ is a power series.
- ▶ Moreover $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^*(A) \in \mathcal{K}\{\{u, v\}\}$ iff $A \in \mathbb{P}\{\{x\}\}$.

Strategy of proof (2)

We have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \psi : \mathcal{K}\{\{x_1, x_2, y\}\} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}\{\{u, v\}\} \\ g(x_1, x_2, y) & \longmapsto & g(u, uv, f(u, v)) \end{array}$$

for some $f(u, v) \in \mathcal{K}\{\{u, v\}\}$.

Strategy of proof (2)

We have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \psi : \mathcal{K}\{\{x_1, x_2, y\}\} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}\{\{u, v\}\} \\ g(x_1, x_2, y) & \longmapsto & g(u, uv, f(u, v)) \end{array}$$

for some $f(u, v) \in \mathcal{K}\{\{u, v\}\}$.

Moreover $P(x_1, x_2, y) \in \mathcal{K}[[x]][y]$ is an (irreducible) generator of $\text{Ker}(\widehat{\psi})$.

Strategy of proof (2)

We have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \psi : & \mathcal{K}\{\{x_1, x_2, y\}\} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}\{\{u, v\}\} \\ & g(x_1, x_2, y) & \longmapsto & g(u, uv, f(u, v)) \end{array}$$

for some $f(u, v) \in \mathcal{K}\{\{u, v\}\}$.

Moreover $P(x_1, x_2, y) \in \mathcal{K}[[x]][y]$ is an (irreducible) generator of $\text{Ker}(\widehat{\psi})$.

We will prove that $P \in \mathcal{K}\{\{x\}\}[y]$.

Strategy of proof (2)

We have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \psi : \mathcal{K}\{\{x_1, x_2, y\}\} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}\{\{u, v\}\} \\ g(x_1, x_2, y) & \longmapsto & g(u, uv, f(u, v)) \end{array}$$

for some $f(u, v) \in \mathcal{K}\{\{u, v\}\}$.

Moreover $P(x_1, x_2, y) \in \mathcal{K}[[x]][y]$ is an (irreducible) generator of $\text{Ker}(\widehat{\psi})$.

We will prove that $P \in \mathcal{K}\{\{x\}\}[y]$.

$P = Q_1 \cdots Q_s$ where the Q_i are irreducible monic polynomials in $\mathbb{P}[[x]][y]$.

Strategy of proof (2)

We have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \psi : & \mathcal{K}\{\{x_1, x_2, y\}\} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}\{\{u, v\}\} \\ & g(x_1, x_2, y) & \longmapsto & g(u, uv, f(u, v)) \end{array}$$

for some $f(u, v) \in \mathcal{K}\{\{u, v\}\}$.

Moreover $P(x_1, x_2, y) \in \mathcal{K}[[x]][y]$ is an (irreducible) generator of $\text{Ker}(\widehat{\psi})$.

We will prove that $P \in \mathcal{K}\{\{x\}\}[y]$.

$P = Q_1 \cdots Q_s$ where the Q_i are irreducible monic polynomials in $\mathbb{P}[[x]][y]$.

And each $Q_i = \prod_{j=1}^{r_i} (y - \xi_i(x, \gamma_{i,j}))$ and the $\gamma_{i,j}$ are the conjugates of some homogeneous element γ_i .

Strategy of proof (2)

We have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \psi : & \mathcal{K}\{\{x_1, x_2, y\}\} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}\{\{u, v\}\} \\ & g(x_1, x_2, y) & \longmapsto & g(u, uv, f(u, v)) \end{array}$$

for some $f(u, v) \in \mathcal{K}\{\{u, v\}\}$.

Moreover $P(x_1, x_2, y) \in \mathcal{K}[[x]][y]$ is an (irreducible) generator of $\text{Ker}(\widehat{\psi})$.

We will prove that $P \in \mathcal{K}\{\{x\}\}[y]$.

$P = Q_1 \cdots Q_s$ where the Q_i are irreducible monic polynomials in $\mathbb{P}[[x]][y]$.

And each $Q_i = \prod_{j=1}^{r_i} (y - \xi_i(x, \gamma_{i,j}))$ and the $\gamma_{i,j}$ are the conjugates of some homogeneous element γ_i .

By assumption, $P\left(x_1, x_2, f\left(x_1, \frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)\right) = 0$

Strategy of proof (3)

After one blowup σ_1 , $\sigma_{1\alpha}^*(P)$ has a temperate root at some point $\alpha \in E_1$ because

$$P(u, uv, f(u, v)) = 0$$

Strategy of proof (3)

After one blowup σ_1 , $\sigma_{1*}^*(P)$ has a temperate root at some point $\alpha \in E_1$ because

$$P(u, uv, f(u, v)) = 0$$

We make more blowups in order to insure that $\Delta_y P_b$ is normal crossing at every point b of the exceptional divisor.

Strategy of proof (3)

After one blowup σ_1 , $\sigma_1^*(P)$ has a temperate root at some point $\mathfrak{a} \in E_1$ because

$$P(u, uv, f(u, v)) = 0$$

We make more blowups in order to insure that $\Delta_y P_{\mathfrak{b}}$ is normal crossing at every point \mathfrak{b} of the exceptional divisor.

$$(N_r, E_r) \xrightarrow{\sigma_r} \cdots \xrightarrow{\sigma_2} (N_1, E_1) \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} (\mathcal{K}^2, 0)$$

We set $\sigma = \sigma_1 \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_r$ and, $\forall j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, E_j is a simple normal crossing divisor:

$$E_j = E_j^{(1)} \cup E_j^{(2)} \cup \cdots \cup E_j^{(j)}$$

where $E_j^{(k)}$ is the strict transform of $E_{j-1}^{(k)}$ ($k < j$) and $E_j^{(j)}$ is the exceptional divisor of σ_j .

Strategy of proof (4)

Claim 1: let Q be one of the Q_i . Then, for every $\alpha \in E_r^{(1)}$, $\sigma_\alpha^*(Q) \in \mathcal{K}[[x'_1, x'_2]][y]$ for some local coordinates (x'_1, x'_2) at α .
(Jung-Abhyankar Theorem)

Strategy of proof (4)

Claim 1: let Q be one of the Q_i . Then, for every $\mathfrak{a} \in E_r^{(1)}$, $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^*(Q) \in \mathcal{K}[[x'_1, x'_2]][y]$ for some local coordinates (x'_1, x'_2) at \mathfrak{a} . (Jung-Abhyankar Theorem)

Claim 2: Assume that $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}_0}^*(Q_i)$ admits a temperate factor for some $\mathfrak{a}_0 \in E_r^{(1)}$. Then $Q_i \in \mathbb{P}\{\{x\}\}[y]$.

Strategy of proof (4)

Claim 1: let Q be one of the Q_i . Then, for every $\alpha \in E_r^{(1)}$, $\sigma_\alpha^*(Q) \in \mathcal{K}[[x'_1, x'_2]][y]$ for some local coordinates (x'_1, x'_2) at α . (Jung-Abhyankar Theorem)

Claim 2: Assume that $\sigma_{\alpha_0}^*(Q_i)$ admits a temperate factor for some $\alpha_0 \in E_r^{(1)}$. Then $Q_i \in \mathbb{P}\{\{x\}\}[y]$.

If $r = 1$: ok

Strategy of proof (4)

Claim 1: let Q be one of the Q_i . Then, for every $\alpha \in E_r^{(1)}$, $\sigma_\alpha^*(Q) \in \mathcal{K}[[x'_1, x'_2]][y]$ for some local coordinates (x'_1, x'_2) at α . (Jung-Abhyankar Theorem)

Claim 2: Assume that $\sigma_{\alpha_0}^*(Q_i)$ admits a temperate factor for some $\alpha_0 \in E_r^{(1)}$. Then $Q_i \in \mathbb{P}\{\{x\}\}[y]$.

If $r = 1$: ok

Proof by induction on (r, k) :

r = number of blowups

P has a temperate factor at $\alpha \in E_r^{(k)}$

